IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF ARIZONA Plaintiff, PHX RCB No. CIV ORDER SETTING RULE 16 VS. SCHEDULING CONFERENCE Defendants,

Pursuant to the local rule governing differentiated case management, this action, commenced on , 2006, is designated a **standard track** case. Accordingly,

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

Pursuant to Rule 16, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, a Scheduling Conference is set for , 2006, at p.m., Sandra Day O'Connor United States Courthouse, 401 West Washington Street, SPC 61, Sixth Floor, Courtroom 606, Phoenix, Arizona 85003-2158. Counsel are directed to Rule 16 for the objectives of this conference. At least one of the attorneys for each party attending the conference shall have authority to enter into stipulations and make admissions regarding all matters which may be discussed.

Counsel and all unrepresented parties shall confer before the conference regarding:

- A. Possibility of consent to trial before a United States Magistrate Judge pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §636(c), or reference to a master;
- B. Any matters relating to jurisdiction, venue or joinder of additional parties;
- C. The scope of discovery, and possible limitations thereof. Counsel and unrepresented parties are expected to comply with Rule 26(f) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure and seek to minimize the expense of discovery. In cases where dispositive motions will be filed, counsel and unrepresented parties should consider limiting discovery to the issue at hand until the court has ruled on the motion;
- D. A schedule of all pretrial proceedings, an estimate of the length of trial, and any suggestions for shortening trial. A trial date will be set at the pre-trial conference.
- E. Prospects for settlement, and whether any party desires having a settlement or other conference before a judicial officer, or participating in any other alternative dispute resolution forum (see Local Rule 83.10); and
- F. Any other matters which counsel may feel will help dispose of the matter in an efficient and economical manner.
- G. Counsel and unrepresented parties shall file with the Court, not less than ten days before the Scheduling Conference, a joint report reflecting the results of their discussions. The report shall include individually numbered

brief statements indicating;

- 1. The nature of the case, setting forth in brief statements the factual and legal basis of plaintiff's claims and defendant's defenses;
- 2. The factual and legal issues genuinely in dispute, and whether they can be narrowed by stipulation or motion;
- 3. The jurisdictional basis of the case, citing specific statutes;
- 4. Parties, if any, which have not been served, as well as parties which have not filed an answer or other appearance. Unless counsel and unrepresented parties can otherwise show cause, an order shall accompany the joint report dismissing any party which has not been served, or seeking default judgment on any non-appearing party.
- 5. The names of parties not subject to the Court's jurisdiction;
- 6. Whether there are further dispositive or partially dispositive issues to be decided by pretrial motions, and the legal issues about which any pretrial motions are contemplated;
- 7. Whether the case is suitable for reference to arbitration, to a master, or to a United States
 Magistrate Judge for trial;
- 8. The status of related cases pending before other judges of this Court or before other courts;
- 9. Proposed scheduling deadlines, including those deadlines contained in the attached form of Scheduling

Order (Attachment #1), unless inapplicable. Counsel and unrepresented parties shall submit a proposed Scheduling Order consistent with Attachment #1. Although the court strongly urges that agreement be reached, if counsel and unrepresented parties cannot agree on deadlines, separate proposed Scheduling Orders with separate proposed deadlines shall be submitted for discussion at the Scheduling Conference.

- 10. Estimated date that the case will be ready for trial, the estimated length of trial, and any suggestions for shortening the trial;
 - 11. Whether a jury trial has been requested;
- 12. The prospects for settlement, including any request to have a settlement conference before a United States Magistrate Judge, or other request of the court for assistance in settlement efforts.
- 13. In class actions, the proposed dates for class certification proceedings and other class management issues. Such certification will result in the case being reassigned to the **complex track** for case management purposes;
- 14. Whether any unusual, difficult, or complex problems or issues exist which would require this case to be placed on the **complex track** for case management purposes; and
- 15. Any other matters which counsel feel will aid the Court in resolving this dispute in a just, speedy, and inexpensive manner.

After the conference, the Court will enter a Scheduling Order, which shall control the course of the action, unless modified by subsequent Order. Counsel and unrepresented parties are cautioned that the deadlines set at this conference shall be enforced.

It is the responsibility of plaintiff's counsel (or plaintiff if unrepresented) to initiate the communication necessary to prepare this joint report and the proposed Scheduling Order.

This Court views this Scheduling Conference as critical to its case management responsibilities and the responsibilities of the parties under Rule 1, Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

Robert C. Broomfield

Senior United States District Judge

DATED this day of , 200 .